

REMARKS

Status of Claims:

Claims 1-15 are present for examination.

No Changes to the Drawings:

The drawings have not been amended. Rather, the specification has been amended to conform to the drawings by stating that “line 16 illustrates the connection between the computer 18 and the projector 12.” No new matter has been added. In view of this change to the specification, it is submitted that no drawing changes are needed.

Prior Art Rejection:

Claims 1-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Raskar ‘138. Further, claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raskar ‘264.

The Examiner’s rejections are respectfully reversed.

Applicant’s claim 1, for example, expressly recites the display screen of a computer which is utilized to correct the auxiliary line such that a projected image of the auxiliary line when projected from a projector to a screen fits the auxiliary line in the predetermined shape. Applicant’s claim 1 further recites the method of virtually displaying a display area for a user interface screen within the display screen. The display screen was previously recited to be the display screen of the computer on which the auxiliary line is displayed. The displayed area for the user interface screen within the display screen is displayed upon a reduced scale using an application on the computer to define a virtual correction area around the display area displayed on the reduced scale. None of these limitations are found in the prior art. In deed, while the prior art references utilize projectors, cameras and various processes, these references do not utilize a user interface which includes a display screen which is displayed on a reduced scale using an application on the computer to define a virtual correction area around the display area which is displayed on the reduced scale.

Claim 4 provides another example of the missing ingredients not found in the prior art. For example, claim 4 recites a display connected to the computer for displaying a user interface screen. No such display is found in the prior art references. Claim 4 goes on to recite the projector for projecting an image and a screen on which the projected image is displayed. The projected image screen would appear to correspond to figure 10 of the Raska '264 or element 130 of Raskar '138. However, applicant can find no teaching of the computer and the display associated with the computer. It is to be recalled that applicant's claims specifically recite that the auxiliary line is drawn on the computer display as well as on the screen of the projected image but the computer display has a function of virtually reducing the display area of the user interface screen on the user display and creating a virtual corrected area capable of displaying the auxiliary around the reduced display area. No such comparable features are caught in the prior art.

In as much as applicant's claims recites specific limitations that are not found in the prior art, the prior art may not be utilized to reject applicant's claims in the 35 U.S.C. § 102 nor the 35 U.S.C. § 103. As such, applicant's claims are deemed to be patentable over the prior art.

Conclusions:

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.


The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith,
Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment
of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date April 26, 2006
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5407
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By 
David A. Blumenthal
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,257